Computation of heat asymptotics for nonminimal Laplace type operators: from theory to computer (Work in progress with Bruno lochum) Sopot, May 10, 2018

Thierry Masson

Centre de Physique Théorique





The problem

- *V* a smooth hermitean vector bundle *V* (fiber \mathbb{C}^N) over a compact *d*-dimensional boundaryless Riemannian manifold (*M*, *g*).
- *P* a nonminimal Laplace type operator acting on smooth sections $\Gamma(V)$:

$$\begin{split} P &= -[g^{\mu\nu}u\partial_{\mu}\partial_{\nu} + v^{\mu}\partial_{\nu} + w] \\ &= -g^{\mu\nu}u\nabla_{\mu}\nabla_{\nu} - (p^{\nu} + g^{\mu\nu}(\nabla_{\mu}u) - \Gamma^{\nu}u)\nabla_{\nu} - q \end{split}$$

- ∇_µ gauge (only) covariant derivative,
- $u, v^{\mu}, w, p^{\mu}, q$ are $M_N(\mathbb{C})$ matrix valued functions,
- ▶ *u*(*x*) positive and invertible (for any *x*),
- u, p^{μ}, q have homogeneous gauge and diff. transformations (sections of End(V)),
- $\Gamma^{\nu} := g^{\mu\rho}\Gamma^{\nu}_{\mu\rho}$ where the $\Gamma^{\nu}_{\mu\rho}$ = Christoffel symbols of *g*.
- For any $a \in \Gamma(\text{End}(V))$, consider the asymptotics of the heat-trace

$$\operatorname{Tr}[ae^{-tP}] \underset{t \downarrow 0^+}{\sim} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} a_r(a, P) t^{(r-d)/2}$$

• Compute \mathcal{R}_r defined by

$$a_r(a, P) = \int_M \operatorname{tr}[a(x)\mathcal{R}_r(x)] \operatorname{dvol}_g(x).$$

The key steps for the computation

Iochum, B. and Masson, T. (2017). Heat trace for Laplace type operators with non-scalar symbols. *Journal of Geometry and Physics* 116, pp. 90–118 Iochum, B. and Masson, T. (2018). Heat asymptotics for nonminimal Laplace type operators and application to noncommutative tori. *Journal of Geometry and Physics* 129, pp. 1–24

- $\operatorname{Tr}[ae^{-tP}] = \int dx \operatorname{tr}[a(x)K(t, x, x)]$ with K(t, x, x) diagonal of the kernel of e^{-tP} : $\int_{M} dy K(t, x, y)s(y) = (e^{-tP}s)(x), \text{ for any } s \in \Gamma(V)$
- It is well known that

$$K(t, x, y) = (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathrm{d}\xi \, e^{-iy \cdot \xi} (e^{-t^P} e^{ix \cdot \xi}) \, : \, \mathbb{C}^N \to \mathbb{C}^N.$$

• One has (first trick):

$$-Pe^{ix\xi}s = -e^{ix\xi}[H+K+P]s, \text{ with } \begin{cases} K := -i\xi_{\mu}(p^{\mu}+g^{\mu\nu}(\nabla_{\nu}u) - \Gamma^{\mu}u + 2g^{\mu\nu}u\nabla_{\nu}) \\ H := g^{\mu\nu}u\,\xi_{\mu}\xi_{\nu} \end{cases}$$

then, at fixed *x*, K(t, x, x) is the linear operator (on \mathbb{C}^N):

$$\mathbb{C}^N \ni v \mapsto K(t, x, x)v = t^{-d/2} (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathrm{d}\xi \, e^{-H - \sqrt{t}K - tP} v.$$

The key steps (cont'd)

One uses (second trick) the Volterra series

$$e^{A+B} = e^A + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{\Delta_k} \mathrm{d} s \, e^{(1-s_1)A} \, B \, e^{(s_1-s_2)A} \cdots e^{(s_{k-1}-s_k)A} \, B \, e^{s_k A} \,,$$

where $\Delta_k := \{s = (s_1, \dots, s_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k_+ | 0 \le s_k \le s_{k-1} \le \dots \le s_2 \le s_1 \le 1\} (\Delta_0 := \emptyset)$ • Then

$$e^{-H-\sqrt{t}K-tP}v = e^{-H}v + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^k f_k(\xi) [(\sqrt{t}K+tP) \otimes \cdots \otimes (\sqrt{t}K+tP)]v$$

where, for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the map $f_k(\xi) : M_N[\xi, \nabla]^{\otimes^k} \to M_N[\xi]$ is defined by

$$f_k(\xi)[B_1 \otimes \dots \otimes B_k] := \int_{\Delta_k} \mathrm{d}s \, e^{(s_1 - 1)H} \, B_1 \, e^{(s_2 - s_1)H} \, B_2 \, e^{(s_3 - s_2)H} \, \dots B_k \, e^{-s_k H},$$
$$f_0(\xi)[z] := z \, e^{-H}, \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C} =: \, M_N^{\otimes^0}.$$

• The B_i are matrix-valued diff. op. in ∇_{μ} depending on x and (polynomials in) ξ .

The key steps (cont'd)

• Then one has to compute:

$$\begin{aligned} a_0(a,P)(x) &= \operatorname{tr} \frac{|g|^{-1/2}}{(2\pi)^d} a(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathrm{d}\xi \ f_0[1], \\ a_2(a,P)(x) &= \operatorname{tr} \frac{|g|^{-1/2}}{(2\pi)^d} a(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathrm{d}\xi \ (f_2[K \otimes K] - f_1[P]), \\ a_4(a,P)(x) &= \operatorname{tr} \frac{|g|^{-1/2}}{(2\pi)^d} a(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathrm{d}\xi \ (f_2[P \otimes P] - f_3[K \otimes K \otimes P] - f_3[K \otimes P \otimes K] \\ &- f_3[P \otimes K \otimes K] + f_4[K \otimes K \otimes K \otimes K]), \end{aligned}$$

etc.

- Strategy for the computation:
 - **1** Compute and characterize the operators $f_k : M_N[\xi, \nabla]^{\otimes^k} \to M_N[\xi];$

2 Take care of the operators ∇_{μ} in the arguments...

Let us use the notations:

$$\begin{split} H^{\mu\nu} &:= g^{\mu\nu} u, \qquad L^{\mu} &:= p^{\mu} + g^{\mu\nu} (\nabla_{\nu} u) - \Gamma^{\mu} u, \qquad K^{\mu} &:= -i \left(L^{\mu} + 2H^{\mu\nu} \nabla_{\nu} \right), \\ H &= H^{\mu\nu} \xi_{\mu} \xi_{\nu}, \qquad K &= K^{\mu} \xi_{\mu}, \qquad P &= -H^{\mu\nu} \nabla_{\mu} \nabla_{\nu} - L^{\mu} \nabla_{\mu} - q. \end{split}$$

The universal operators

• Define the functions of $r_i \in$ spectrum of u(x) (*x* is fixed):

$$I_{\alpha,k}(r_0, r_1, \dots, r_k) := \int_{\Delta_k} ds \left[(1 - s_1)r_0 + (s_1 - s_2)r_1 + \dots + s_k r_k \right]^{-\alpha}$$

• Define the associated (x-dependent) operators $X_{\alpha,k} \in \mathcal{B}(M_N^{\otimes^k}, M_N)$ by

$$X_{\alpha,k}[B_1\otimes \cdots \otimes B_k] := I_{\alpha,k}(r_0,\ldots,r_k) E_0 B_1 E_1 \cdots B_k E_k$$

with summation over k + 1-uplets $(r_0, ..., r_k)$ of spectral values of u(x), E_i the spectral projection of u(x) associated to r_i .

One has

$$X_{d/2+p,k,\mu_1\dots\mu_{2p}} := G_{\mu_1\dots\mu_{2p}} X_{d/2+p,k} = \frac{1}{g_d} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathrm{d}\xi \,\xi_{\mu_1} \cdots \xi_{\mu_{2p}} f_k(\xi)$$

with

$$G_{\mu_1\dots\mu_{2p}} \, := \, \frac{1}{2^{2p} \, p!} \, \sum_{\rho \in S_{2p}} g_{\mu_{\rho(1)}\mu_{\rho(2)}} \cdots g_{\mu_{\rho(2p-1)}\mu_{\rho(2p)}}$$

 $(S_{2p} = \text{symm. group of perm. on } 2p \text{ elements}) \text{ and } g_d = \frac{|g|^{1/2}}{2^d \pi^{d/2}}.$

• Everything here depend only on u and $g^{\mu\nu}$, not on P.

Propagation of the ∇_{μ}

• To compute \mathcal{R}_r , we start with terms of the form

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int d\xi \,\xi_{\mu_1} \cdots \xi_{\mu_{2p}} f_k(\xi) [(B_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes B_k)^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{2p}}]$$

= $g_d X_{d/2+p,k,\mu_1 \dots \mu_{2p}} [(B_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes B_k)^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{2p}}]$

Let Q[A] be a matrix-valued function written as a polynomial in the A_μ and their derivatives (∇_μ repeatedly acting on ν ∈ ℂ^N). One main result is

$$\begin{split} X_{d/2+p,k,\mu_1\dots\mu_{2p}}[(B_1\otimes\dots\otimes B_i\nabla_v\otimes\dots\otimes B_k)^{\mu_1\dots\mu_{2p}}]Q[A] \\ &= \sum_{j=i+1}^k X_{d/2+p,k,\mu_1\dots\mu_{2p}}[(B_1\otimes\dots\otimes (\nabla_vB_j)\otimes\dots\otimes B_k)^{\mu_1\dots\mu_{2p}}]Q[A] \\ &\quad -\sum_{j=i}^k X_{d/2+p+1,k+1,\mu_1\dots\mu_{2(p+1)}}[(B_1\otimes\dots\otimes B_j\otimes (\nabla_vH^{\mu_{2p+1}\mu_{2p+2}})\otimes\dots\otimes B_k)^{\mu_1\dots\mu_{2p}}]Q[A] \\ &\quad + X_{d/2+p,k,\mu_1\dots\mu_{2p}}[(B_1\otimes\dots\otimes B_i\otimes\dots\otimes B_k)^{\mu_1\dots\mu_{2p}}](\nabla_vQ[A]). \end{split}$$
Use repeatedly \longrightarrow all the ∇_v are applied...

- $$\begin{split} H^{\mu\nu} &:= g^{\mu\nu} u, \qquad L^{\mu} := p^{\mu} + g^{\mu\nu} (\nabla_{\nu} u) \Gamma^{\mu} u, \qquad K^{\mu} := -i (L^{\mu} + 2H^{\mu\nu} \nabla_{\nu}), \\ H &= H^{\mu\nu} \xi_{\mu} \xi_{\nu}, \qquad K = K^{\mu} \xi_{\mu}, \qquad P = -H^{\mu\nu} \nabla_{\mu} \nabla_{\nu} L^{\mu} \nabla_{\mu} q. \end{split}$$
- Start with

$$\mathcal{R}_2 = \frac{1}{2^d \pi^{d/2}} \left(X_{d/2+1,2,\mu_1 \mu_2} [K^{\mu_1} \otimes K^{\mu_2}] - X_{d/2,1} [P] \right).$$

- Propagate the $\nabla_{\mu} \rightarrow 24$ terms (after simplifications).
- Replace $H^{\mu\nu}$, L^{μ} and their derivatives (use normal coordinates)...

- $$\begin{split} H^{\mu\nu} &:= g^{\mu\nu} u, \qquad L^{\mu} := p^{\mu} + g^{\mu\nu} (\nabla_{\nu} u) \Gamma^{\mu} u, \qquad K^{\mu} := -i (L^{\mu} + 2H^{\mu\nu} \nabla_{\nu}), \\ H &= H^{\mu\nu} \xi_{\mu} \xi_{\nu}, \qquad K = K^{\mu} \xi_{\mu}, \qquad P = -H^{\mu\nu} \nabla_{\mu} \nabla_{\nu} L^{\mu} \nabla_{\mu} q. \end{split}$$
- Start with

$$\mathcal{R}_2 = \frac{1}{2^d \, \pi^{d/2}} \left(X_{d/2+1,2,\mu_1 \mu_2} [K^{\mu_1} \otimes K^{\mu_2}] - X_{d/2,1} [P] \right).$$

- Propagate the $\nabla_{\mu} \rightarrow 24$ terms (after simplifications).
- Replace $H^{\mu\nu}$, L^{μ} and their derivatives (use normal coordinates)...
-
• Final result, with $\widehat{\nabla}_{\!\mu}$ the "full covariant derivative" (gauge + Riemannian):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_{2} &= \frac{1}{2^{d} \pi^{d/2}} \Big(\frac{1}{6} \Re X_{d/2,1}[u] + X_{d/2,1}[q] - X_{d/2+1,2}[u \otimes \widehat{\nabla}_{\mu} p^{\mu}] \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} X_{d/2+1,2}[(\widehat{\nabla}_{\mu} u + p_{\mu}) \otimes (\widehat{\nabla}_{\nu} u - p_{\nu})] \\ &- \frac{d+2}{2} g^{\mu\nu} X_{d/2+2,3}[u \otimes \widehat{\nabla}_{\mu\nu} u \otimes u] \\ &- \frac{d+2}{2} g^{\mu\nu} X_{d/2+2,3}[u \otimes \widehat{\nabla}_{\mu} u \otimes (\widehat{\nabla}_{\nu} u - p_{\nu})] \\ &- \frac{d+2}{2} g^{\mu\nu} X_{d/2+2,3}[(\widehat{\nabla}_{\mu} u + p_{\mu}) \otimes \widehat{\nabla}_{\nu} u \otimes u] \\ &+ \frac{(d+2)(d+4)}{2} g^{\mu\nu} X_{d/2+3,4}[u \otimes \widehat{\nabla}_{\mu} u \otimes \widehat{\nabla}_{\nu} u \otimes u] \Big). \end{aligned}$$

• Start with

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{R}_4 &= \frac{1}{2^d \, \pi^{d/2}} \big(X_{d/2,2} [P \otimes P] - X_{d/2+1,3,\mu_1 \mu_2} [K^{\mu_1} \otimes K^{\mu_2} \otimes P] \\ &\quad - X_{d/2+1,3,\mu_1 \mu_2} [K^{\mu_1} \otimes P \otimes K^{\mu_2}] - X_{d/2+1,3,\mu_1 \mu_2} [P \otimes K^{\mu_1} \otimes K^{\mu_2}] \\ &\quad + X_{d/2+2,4,\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3 \mu_4} [K^{\mu_1} \otimes K^{\mu_2} \otimes K^{\mu_3} \otimes K^{\mu_4}] \big). \end{split}$$

• Propagate the $\nabla_{\mu} \rightarrow 3771$ terms (after simplifications!).

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{R}_4 &= \frac{1}{2^d \, \pi^{d/2}} \Big(X_{d/2,2} \big[P \otimes P \big] - X_{d/2+1,3,\mu_1 \mu_2} \big[K^{\mu_1} \otimes K^{\mu_2} \otimes P \big] \\ &\quad - X_{d/2+1,3,\mu_1 \mu_2} \big[K^{\mu_1} \otimes P \otimes K^{\mu_2} \big] - X_{d/2+1,3,\mu_1 \mu_2} \big[P \otimes K^{\mu_1} \otimes K^{\mu_2} \big] \\ &\quad + X_{d/2+2,4,\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3 \mu_4} \big[K^{\mu_1} \otimes K^{\mu_2} \otimes K^{\mu_3} \otimes K^{\mu_4} \big] \big). \end{split}$$

• Propagate the $\nabla_{\mu} \rightarrow 3771$ terms (after simplifications!).



\mathcal{R}_4 : computer enters the game...

- All the manipulations in the method are "symbolic" and "combinatorial".
- A computer algebra system should do the work for us!
- Requirements:
 - Derivations (∇_{μ}) ;
 - Noncommutative products (matrix algebra)...
 - mixed with commutative products (metric...);
 - Tensor products;
 - Riemannian tensor manipulations (in normal coordinates);
 - Gauge field strength and its derivatives;
 - Contractions of indices;
 - Simplification of expressions combining all these structures...

\mathcal{R}_4 : computer enters the game...

- All the manipulations in the method are "symbolic" and "combinatorial".
- A computer algebra system should do the work for us!
- Requirements:
 - ▶ Derivations (∇_µ);
 - Noncommutative products (matrix algebra)...
 - mixed with commutative products (metric...);
 - Tensor products;
 - Riemannian tensor manipulations (in normal coordinates);
 - Gauge field strength and its derivatives;
 - Contractions of indices;
 - Simplification of expressions combining all these structures...
- First tentative: Mathematica...
 - → Not easy to make it understand all these requirements!
- Present tentative: home made object oriented software.
 - ▶ We manipulate (programmed) "objects" that reproduce our (math.) "objects"...
 - Can be written in lot of languages: we (I) chose Javascript with Node.
 (I am used to Javascript, favorable benchmarks compared to Python...)



Problems and benefits...

- ♥ We (Bruno and me) are not specialist in "formal mathematical manipulations" on computers...
 - How to simplify?
 - How to tell the software that we want a expression instead of another?
- \mathbf{Q} How to be sure that the software computes what we want?
- The software is designed for the method and we can add filters, post operations (simplifications), etc...
- \bigcirc $\mathbb{A}T_{\mathbb{E}}X$ exportation...

Some preliminary results...

- The 3771 terms can be sorted according to the only 5 values of Q[A]: 1 (2026 terms), ∇_{ν_1} 1 (1296 terms), $\nabla^2_{\nu_1\nu_2}$ 1 (382 terms), $\nabla^3_{\nu_1\nu_2\nu_3}$ 1 (62 terms), and $\nabla^4_{\nu_1\nu_2\nu_3\nu_4}$ 1 (5 terms).
- Q[A] = ∇_{ν1} 1: no gauge homogeneous expression → should be 0. This is what the computer returns!
- $Q[A] = \nabla^4_{\nu_1 \nu_2 \nu_3 \nu_4} \mathbb{1}$: the only gauge homogeneous expression is $F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}$. The computer produces (directly) only one term:

$$\frac{1}{12} X_1[u] F^{\nu_1 \nu_2} F_{\nu_1 \nu_2}.$$

Special case u = 1: this reduces to $\frac{1}{12} F^{\nu_1 \nu_2} F_{\nu_1 \nu_2}$ and agrees with results in Gilkey, P. B. (2003). Asymptotic formulae in spectral geometry. CRC press.

Some preliminary results (cont'd)... • $Q[A] = \nabla^3_{\nu_1\nu_2\nu_3} \mathbb{1}$: let $\mathcal{X}_{(3)}[a] := \frac{1}{6}X_1[a] - X_3[u \otimes a \otimes u]$, then

$$\begin{split} &-4\,g^{\nu_{1}\nu_{2}}g^{\nu_{3}\nu_{4}}\,X_{5}[(\widehat{\nabla}_{\nu_{4}}\,u)\otimes u\otimes u\otimes u\otimes u\otimes u)\,(\widehat{\nabla}_{\nu_{1}}F_{\nu_{2}\nu_{3}})\\ &+d\,g^{\nu_{1}\nu_{2}}g^{\nu_{3}\nu_{4}}\,X_{5}[\,u\otimes(\widehat{\nabla}_{\nu_{4}}\,u)\otimes u\otimes u\otimes u\,)\,(\widehat{\nabla}_{\nu_{1}}F_{\nu_{2}\nu_{3}})\\ &-d\,g^{\nu_{1}\nu_{2}}g^{\nu_{3}\nu_{4}}\,X_{5}[\,u\otimes u\otimes u\otimes(\widehat{\nabla}_{\nu_{4}}\,u)\otimes u]\,(\widehat{\nabla}_{\nu_{1}}F_{\nu_{2}\nu_{3}})\\ &+4\,g^{\nu_{1}\nu_{2}}g^{\nu_{3}\nu_{4}}\,X_{5}[\,u\otimes u\otimes u\otimes u\otimes(\widehat{\nabla}_{\nu_{4}}\,u)]\,(\widehat{\nabla}_{\nu_{1}}F_{\nu_{2}\nu_{3}})\\ &-g^{\nu_{1}\nu_{2}}\,\mathcal{X}_{(3)}[p^{\nu_{3}}]\,(\widehat{\nabla}_{\nu_{1}}F_{\nu_{2}\nu_{3}}). \end{split}$$

→ more simplifications to expect?

- $Q[A] = \nabla^2_{\nu_1 \nu_2} \mathbb{1}$: work in progress, we analyze what the software has returned. "Post-production" on 31 terms...
- Q[A] = 1: that's the big piece of the cake!
 - Use normal coordinates up to 4-th derivatives of $H^{\mu\nu}$...
 - Replace gauge covariant derivatives with full covariant derivatives...
 - Introduce derivations of Riemann and Ricci tensors...
 - Self (full) contractions of Riemann tensor and Ricci tensor...
 - Lot of work to be done "in post production" to get (human) readable expression...

Conclusions

- The method gives "universal" formulas in terms of universal operators.
- These formulas can be instantiated to specific situations:
 - "diagonal" case $u = 1 \rightarrow \text{know results...}$
 - ▶ Noncommutative Torus → we confirm results by Connes, Tretkoff, Moscovici, Fathizadeh, Khalkhali... (avoid NC pseudodifferential calculus).
- Search for "hidden" structures (patterns) in the result. Wait and see what \mathcal{R}_4 will tell us...
- The software could help compute \mathcal{R}_r for large r... with the help of a powerful computer?
- The method could (should!) work in NCG: spectral decompositions, algebraic constructions, traces...
- Only work with leading term $-g^{\mu\nu}u \nabla_{\mu}\nabla_{\nu}$ in *P*...